#### SAN FRANCISCO 201

World Transplant Congress



Richard Haynes, DM MRCP on behalf of the 3C Study Collaborative Group University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

> Campath, Calcineurin inhibitor reduction and Chronic allograft nephropathy: the 3C Study

# Alemtuzumab-based *versus* basiliximab-based induction therapy in kidney transplantation

I have financial relationship(s) within the last 12 months relevant to my presentation with: Novartis Pharma (unrestricted grant); Pfizer (unrestricted grant)

I intend to reference unlabeled/unapproved uses of alemtuzumab for induction therapy in kidney transplantation in my presentation.

Moscone West Convention Center • July 26-31, 2014

### Background

- Over the last few decades, long-term outcomes after kidney transplantation have not improved<sup>1</sup>
- Chronic calcineurin inhibitor (CNI) nephrotoxicity may contribute to late graft loss<sup>2</sup>
- Reliable large randomized trials needed of strategies to reduce CNI exposure



<sup>1</sup> Meier-Kreische *Am J Transplant* 2004 <sup>2</sup> Nankivell *et al. New Engl J Med* 2003



## Induction therapy regimens in the 3C Study

#### Alemtuzumab-based induction therapy



#### **Basiliximab-based induction therapy**





## **Eligibility criteria**

- Inclusion criteria
  - Age ≥18 years
  - Scheduled to receive kidney transplant in next 24 hours
- Exclusion criteria
  - Prior treatment with alemtuzumab
  - History of malignancy in last 5 years
  - Active infection
  - Multi-organ transplants





#### **Baseline characteristics**

	Alemtuzumab n=426	Basiliximab n=426
Age (SD)	51.6 (13.3)	51.3 (13.3)
Male sex (%)	65%	65%
Prior transplant (%)	8%	8%
Mean HLA mismatch A-B-DR	1.0 - 1.0 - 0.7	1.0 - 1.0 - 0.7
Highly sensitized (cRF >85%) (%)	4%	4%
Donor type (%)		
DBD	35%	34%
DCD	37%	37%
Living	28%	29%
Donor age, mean (SD)	48.1 (17.8)	47.8 (19.6)





#### Mean tacrolimus concentration by visit







#### **Biopsy-proven acute rejection**





#### **BPAR** events in the first 6 months, by type





induction therapy better induction therapy better



#### **BPAR in first 6 months, by baseline characteristics**

A	lemtuzumab-based induction therapy (n=426)	Basiliximab-based induction therapy (n=426)		HR (95% CI)	Heterogeneity trend test
Sex					
Male	20 (7.2%)	52 (18.9%)	← ■ ───	0.34 (0.20 - 0.57)	$\chi^2_1 = 2.08$
Female	11 (7.4%)	16 (10.6%)		0.68 (0.31 - 1.46)	(p=0.1)
Age (year	s)				
< 60	19 (6.6%)	51 (17.6%)	← ■ ───	0.34 (0.20 - 0.58)	$\chi^2_1 = 2.18$
≥60	12 (8.8%)	17 (12.4%)		0.67 (0.32 - 1.41)	(p=0.1)
Donor typ	)e				
Living	12 (10.0%)	21 (17.1%)		0.51 (0.25 - 1.04)	2
DBD	9 (6.1%)	22 (15.2%)	←	0.39 (0.18 - 0.85)	$\chi_2^{-}=0.46$
DCD	10 (6.3%)	25 (15.8%)	← <b>∎</b> ───	0.37 (0.18 - 0.77)	(p=0.8)
Any	31 (7.3%)	68 (16.0%)		0.42 (0.28 - 0.64 p=0.0000	) 4
			0.25 0.5 1 2	2	
		Al	emtuzumab-based Basilixi	mab-based	
		induc	ction therapy better induction	on therapy better	





#### **BPAR in first 6 months, by baseline characteristics**

	Alemtuzumab-based induction therapy (n=426)	Basiliximab-based induction therapy (n=426)		HR (95% CI)	Heterogeneity trend test
HLA m	ismatch				
Tier 1	6 (13.3%)	8 (17.0%)		0.82 (0.28 - 2.36)	
Tier 2	5 (5.3%)	7 (7.4%)	← ■ →	0.68 (0.21 - 2.13)	$\chi^{2}_{1}=2.10$
Tier 3	11 (5.6%)	31 (16.1%)	←■───	0.32 (0.16 - 0.64)	(p=0.1)
Tier 4	9 (9.9%)	22 (23.9%)	←=──	0.36 (0.17 - 0.78)	
Highly	sensitised				
Yes	3 (18.8%)	4 (26.7%)	<>	0.65 (0.15 - 2.91)	$\chi^2_1 = 0.35$
No	28 (6.8%)	64 (15.6%)		0.41 (0.26 - 0.63)	(p=0.6)
Previo	us transplant				
Yes	4 (11.1%)	9 (26.5%)	<	0.38 (0.12 - 1.23)	$\chi^{2}_{1}=0.04$
No	27 (6.9%)	59 (15.1%)		0.43 (0.27 - 0.67)	(p=0.9)
Any	31 (7.3%)	68 (16.0%)		0.42 (0.28 - 0.64 p=0.0000	) 4
			0.25 0.5 1 2		
		A	lemtuzumab-based Basilixin	nab-based	

induction therapy better induction therapy better





### Serious infections in the first 6 months

	Alemtuzumab n=426	Basiliximab n=426	HR (95% CI)	p value
Opportunistic infections				
Cytomegalovirus	39 (9.2%)	43 (10.1%)		
ВК	32 (7.5%)	17 (4.0%)		
Fungal	9 (2.1%)	13 (3.1%)		
Other	16 (3.8%)	11 (2.6)		
Any opportunistic infection	81 (19.0%)	78 (18.3%)	1.06 (0.78-1.45)	0.70
Other serious infections				
Urinary tract	44 (10.3%)	55 (12.9%)		
Respiratory	22 (5.2%)	22 (5.2%)		
Any other serious infection	71 (16.7%)	83 (19.5%)	0.85 (0.62-1.17)	0.33
Any serious infection	135 (31.7%)	136 (31.9%)	1.02 (0.80-1.29)	0.88





#### Transplant failure and death in the first 6 months

	Alemtuzumab n=426	Basiliximab n=426	HR (95% CI)	p value
Transplant failure				
Primary non-function	2 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)		
Glomerular disease	2 (0.5%)	1 (0.2%)		
Fibrosis/atrophy	0 (0.0%)	3 (0.7%)		
Medical/surgical condition	7 (1.6%)	8 (1.9%)		
Rejection	5 (1.2%)	1 (0.2%)		
Any transplant failure	16 (3.8%)	13 (3.1%)	1.23 (0.59-2.55)	0.58
Death	11 (2.6%)	6 (1.4%)	1.79 (0.66-4.83)	0.25





### Conclusions

- 3C is the largest trial of induction therapy in kidney transplantation
- Alemtuzumab-based induction therapy halved the risk of acute rejection in first 6 months
- No overall excess of serious infections with alemtuzumab-based therapy
- Long-term results will provide reliable assessment of this strategy on transplant function and survival





#### Acknowledgements

- All participants in the 3C Study
- Local clinical and coordinating centre staff
- Steering Committee
- Data Monitoring Committee
- Funders: NHS Blood and Transplant, Pfizer and Novartis UK



